IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

MONDAY, THE 26TH MARCH 2007 / 5TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 2762 of 2007(D)

PETITIONER:

P.C.KRISHNAN, RETIRED HEAD CONSTABLE,
2611, S/O.RARAPPAN, "AMRTHANANTHA",
PANTHEERANKAVU, KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SRI.T.G.RAJENDRAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. **STATE OF KERALA,**
   REP.BY SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME,
   SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,**
   CALICUT CITY, CALICUT.

3. **THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A & E),**
   KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

==========

WP(C).No.2762 OF 2007-D.

==========

Dated this the 26th day of March, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is a retired Head Constable. He has filed this writ petition for a direction to the respondents to revise his pension and to grant him all consequential benefits as per Ext.P1 judgment dated 17-3-2005. According to the petitioner, he filed Ext.P2 application for revision of pension. As observed by the learned Judge in Ext.P1 judgment, if the petitioner feels aggrieved by the pension already granted to him, it is open to him to seek redress against such fixation. Hence, the petitioner will have to file representation against that fixation before the appropriate authority.

2. Government pleader, on instructions, submitted that no application as produced and marked in this WP (C) as Ext.P2 has been received in the office of the 2nd respondent. In the light of the above submission of the Government pleader, time was granted to the

petitioner to file fresh application before the respondents and to produce copy of the same before this Court.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that some more time may be granted for filing fresh application. I do not think that this Court need adjourn this case repeatedly to enable the petitioner to file representation. Such a facility has already been granted. In the above circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of observing that in case fresh application, if any filed by the petitioner reaches the 2nd respondent, the same shall be considered in accordance with law and appropriate decision taken, as early as possible.

The Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE.

kvs/-